

~~SECRET~~

INSCOM

GRILL FLAME

PROGRAM

SESSION REPORT

CLASSIFIED BY:MSG,DAMI-ISH

DATED: 051630ZJUL78

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS REVIEW ON: 20 Oct 2000

ORCON

~~SECRET~~

Cy 1 of 2 cys

~~SECRET~~

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION D-94

1. (S/NOFORN) This report documents a remote viewing session conducted in compliance with a request for information on a target of interest to INSCOM ITAC CRD.
2. (S/NOFORN) The remote viewer's impressions of the target are provided as raw intelligence data and, as such, have not been subjected to any intermediate analysis, evaluation, or collation. Interpretation and use of the information provided is the responsibility of the requestor.
3. (S/NOFORN) The protocol used for this session is detailed in the document Grill Flame Protocol, AMSAA Applied Remote Viewing Protocol (S), undated.
4. (S/NOFORN) Following is a summary of the transcript of the viewer's impressions during the remote viewing session. At TAB A are drawings made by the remote viewer reference impressions of the target site. At TAB B is target cuing information provided the remote viewer. At TAB C are Control Analyst comments.

~~SECRET~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

~~SECRET~~

TRANSCRIPT SUMMARY

SESSION D-94

SG1A

SG1A

1. (S/NOFORN) Source 27.5 reported the following general description of the vicinity of geographic coordinates [REDACTED]

a. (S/NOFORN) A small city, population approximately 130,000, situated on the southeast shoreline of a body of water. The small city has two distinct industrial areas; a small area near the shoreline involved in assembly type activities, and a larger area in the southeast section dealing in foundry type primary industrial activity.

b. (S/NOFORN) Source observed hills squared like dikes in the area of the coastline, and a range of mountains to the right.

c. (S/NOFORN) In the immediate area of the above geographic coordinates, source #27.5 observed in the vicinity of the primary industrial area four or five large buildings having a "quasi-military function", and two tall (smoke) stacks to the right. Also observed were three "loaf shaped" buildings fastened together, which were associated with a "zig-zag" pattern not further described.

d. (S/NOFORN) At this location, source observed stacked metal pipes in a corner of the "yard" which were described as some kind of moulds. Inside the loaf shaped buildings were giant drill presses and a furnace type heater to blow fire on the tubes while the tubes were cut. Also observed within these buildings was a carrier (vehicle) with tubes mounted thereon.

e. (S/NOFORN) A large area next to the area having the "loaf shaped" buildings was described as having a funny pattern of dirt roads on hills. Heavy military vehicles were observed to be traveling on the dirt roads, and the whole area was described as consisting of different military areas.

2. (S/NOFORN) To the northeast of the city, source #27.5 observed a very distinct group of self-propelled (SP) guns. These guns were pointing in a southeast direction. Source described the activity in this area as being one of "light military exercise activity" simulating armored conflict under tactical nuclear conditions employing approximately three battalions. Source selected a group of six SP guns on his own discretion and observed them periodically for the next nine days, providing the following information:

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Transcript Summary, Session D-94

a. (S/NOFORN) At 231300 October 1980, the SP guns were observed in a convoy line in the company of at least one tank retriever of a type similar to the US M-88. The convoy was observed moving in a west-northwesterly direction after having exited off of a highway type road. The convoy was moving very slowly through a sparsely vegetated area having few trees and (mostly) brown scrub brush. This area was also described as being of "sandy" soil texture.

b. (S/NOFORN) At 261300 October 1980, the SP guns were observed set up in a hidden side of a small "J" shaped hill about 8 kilometers northeast of the city. The SP guns were arranged in a "U" shape configuration in a depression in the ground. The SP guns were conducting gun drills without the firing of ammunition.

c. (S/NOFORN) At 291300 October 1980, the group of six SP guns were observed to have split into three groups of two vehicles each, and to have deployed slightly closer toward the city from their 261300 October 1980 location. The three groups of SP guns were located approximately 200 yards from each other.

d. (S/NOFORN) At the single site observed in detail (site #3, sketch #2, this report) on 291300 October 1980, source observed empty 55 gallon drums next to the two vehicles. Equipment was scattered to one side. Crewmen were observed lounging and talking while laying on the backs of the vehicles. They appeared to be "temporarily deployed" and "just lounging around". Source #27.5 had a strong feeling that this presence (ie, the deployment, etc.) constituted some sort of manipulative move because of the apparent security precautions observed (U/I type ground surveillance or other type radar), the presence of "live" ammunition, and the presence of fully armed crew personnel. Source described the activity as being an apparent show of force, but could not determine the cause(s) of such a circumstance.

e. (S/NOFORN) Source examined the uniforms (sketch #1) of the crewmen and the apparent leader of the group of six SP guns. Personnel generally wore gray heavy canvas coveralls with thick brown leather belt, "wrap around" buckle brown leather boots, and brown pile cap. The leader wore "old fashioned" rounded corner dark brown garrison cap having black piping. On his left collar he wore an insignia consisting of a "tree branch" penetrated by a "spike". On his right collar he wore two small petaled rosettes side by side, which possibly overlapped and partially hid a third rosette.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Transcript Summary, Session D-94

f. (S/NOFORN) At time of observation of 291300 October 1980, the leader's primary concerns were described as being:

(1) He did not want to be there, but being a professional military man he must "do it."

(2) He perceived that doing this military "show of force" would be conducive to continued control; their own control, "cleaning house, so to speak."





(3) He did not really think anything would occur, and therefore thought it would be a waste of time.


(4) He was concerned with the dependability of the other people he had with him, under these circumstances.



3. (S/NOFORN) Source #27.5 reported that 21 days after his observation of the SP guns on 291300 October 1980, he observed the personnel of the SP gun unit departing the area in which the guns were located, but that he did not see the guns themselves departing.

~~SECRET~~

TAB







TAB

~~SECRET~~

TARGET CUING INFORMATION

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION D-94

SG1A

SG1A

1. (S/NOFORN) At the beginning of this session the remote viewer was given the following coordinate; [REDACTED] and was instructed to access the target at 1300 hours, local target time on the date 20 October 1980. He was asked to describe the target area in general terms and describe the activity at this location.
2. (S/NOFORN) During the session the remote viewer was encouraged to elaborate on his description as directed by the Control Analyst.

~~SECRET~~

TAB

CONTROL ANALYST COMMENTS

REMOTE VIEWING SESSION D-94

1. (S/NOFORN) Prior to the session, the interviewer was provided the following information for targeting of #27.5:

SG1A

(S/NOFORN) Geographic coordinates [REDACTED] with directions, "Access the target at these coordinates".

b. (S/NOFORN) Access the target at 201300 hours October 1980, local target time.

2. (S/NOFORN) Prior to the session, the interviewer was provided the following information for in-session guidance of #27.5:

a. (S/NOFORN) Provide a general description of:

(1) area, and

(2) activity at the target

b. (S/NOFORN) Move in time in three day increments to determine changes in activity level (if any).

(1) 201300 October 1980 (session target)

(2) 231300 October 1980

(3) 261300 October 1980

(4) 291300 October 1980

3. (S/NOFORN) In-session targeting: During the session, the control analyst provided the following guidance/direction to the interviewer at the approximate times indicated:

a. +10 min: "Okay, we can go to activity now."

b. +15 min: "Have (#27.5) focus on one of the military units at his discretion, then move him through time."

c. +16 min: "Have (#27.5) stay with the SP guns, don't let them disappear."

d. +18 min: "What is the character of their activity?"

e. +19 min: "Never mind." (Referring to last task)

f. (no time record): "Okay, (#14) have (#27.5) work on that, that's very important."

g. (no time record): "Have (#27.5) describe the feelings that would have him say it is a manipulative move."

h. (no time record): "Have (#27.5) examine the uniforms and attempt to identify them."

i. +30 min: "Have (#27.5) focus his attention on the leader's primary concern at this time."

j. +35 min: "Have (#27.5) envision a thirty day bargraph from 291300 October 1980, and determine if the guns return to their unit installation."

k. +40 min: "Okay, you can bring (#27.5) back now."

SGFOIA3


KENNETH V. BELL, JR
CPT, MI
Control Analyst